

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/573,778	03/28/2006	Hiroaki Masuyama	2006_0448A	5530	
513 WENDEROTH	7590 01/08/2009	EXAMINER			
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W.			TO, BAOQUOC N		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006-1021		2006_0448A 5530  EXAMINER  TO, BAOQUOC N  ART UNIT PAPER NUMB  2162	PAPER NUMBER	
.,	, = 0 = 1 = 1 = 1	·	2162		
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		01/08/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	·		
Office Action Summary		3,778	MASUYAMA ET AL.			
		ner	Art Unit			
	BAOQI	JOC N. TO	2162			
The MAILING DATE of this commu Period for Reply	nication appears on	the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE I Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF is of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no imunication. statutory period will apply an iy will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICA be event, however, may a reply d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS application to become ABANI	TION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this column DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			·			
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) fi</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice.</li> </ol>	2b)⊠ This action is n for allowance exce	s non-final. ept for formal matters		merits is		
Disposition of Claims				•		
4)  Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restr	are withdrawn from					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by to 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 23 August 2 Applicant may not request that any objected The oath or declaration is objected	$008$ is/are: a) $\square$ acception to the drawing (signal of the correction is required.	s) be held in abeyance. uired if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/28/2006 and 12/06/200			fail Date mal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 2162

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-33 are presented for examination.

## **Priority**

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 03/28/2006 and
 12/06/2007. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97.
 Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

# **Drawings**

4. Drawing filed on 03/28/2006 is accepted by examiner.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

5. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 1-11 recites a similarity calculation device however the claim limitations appears to be program per se or software per se.

Art Unit: 2162

Claims 12-22 recite similarity calculation program which are program per se or software per se. Software per se is not a series of steps or acts and thus is not a process. Software per se is not a physical article or object and as such is not a machine or manufacture.

Software per se is not a combination of substances and therefore is not a composition of matter.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1-33 recites abbreviation such as IPC symbols and other mathematical symbols which are not clear what the meaning of those symbol as claimed. Applicants are advised amend the claims to overcome the 112 rejection as set forth in this Office Action.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2162

7. Claims 1 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Plante et al. (Pub. No. US. 2002/0161626 A1).

As to claim 1, Plante discloses a similarity calculation device, which calculates an index for judging technical similarity between a first technical document group and a second technical document group, each comprising patent documents, technical reports, or other technical documents, characterized in comprising:

technical document group input means for inputting the first technical document group and the second technical document group for comparison (first document set and second documents set) (paragraph 0045);

technical information input means for inputting technical information such as keywords or IPC symbols (key concepts) (paragraph 0045);

cluster analysis means for retrieving technical documents containing the input technical information from technical documents contained in the first technical document group and the second technical document group, and for clustering the retrieved technical documents by each technical information (intersection list of concepts between two different groups of documents) (paragraph 0045);

similarity calculation means for calculating, as the similarity, the ratio of the number of intermixed clusters containing technical documents of both the first technical document group and the second technical document group, to the total number of clusters obtained as a result of the cluster analysis (during the indexing documents, the linguistic search engine an algorithm to find key concepts and stores them in a special

Art Unit: 2162

zone of the indexed base. intersections in the list of concepts are taken into account when calculating the similarity between two more documents) (paragraph 0045); and

output means for outputting the calculated similarity to recording means, to display means, or to communication means (stored) (paragraph 0029)

Claim 23 is rejected under the same reason as to claim 1.

## Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 2-21 and 24-33 are allowed when the 101 rejection and the 112 rejection are overcome.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:.

As to claims 2-21 and 24-33, Plante et al. (Pub. No. US 2002/0161626) discloses during the indexing documents, the linguistic search engine an algorithm to find key concepts and stores them in a special zone of the indexed base. intersections in the list of concepts are taken into account when calculating the similarity between two more documents. Plante, alone or in combination, either teach or suggest "similarity calculation means for calculating the total number of clusters obtained as a result of the cluster analysis and the number of intermixed clusters containing technical documents of both the first technical document group and the second technical document group, as well as for calculating the sum, over all intermixed clusters, of the product of a first correction value which takes a value according to the number of technical documents contained in each intermixed cluster and a second correction value which takes a value according to the first technical document

Art Unit: 2162

group and the technical documents of the second technical document group in each intermixed cluster, and dividing the sum by the calculated total number of clusters to calculate the similarity; and, output means for outputting the calculated similarity to recording means, to display means, or to communication means."

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

### Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Baoquoc N. To whose telephone number is at 571-272-4041, or unofficial fax number for the purpose of discussion (571) 273-4041 or via email Baoquoc N. To @uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 8:00 AM – 4:30 PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached at 571-272-4107.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231.

The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follow:

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/573,778

Art Unit: 2162

(571) 273-8300 [Official Communication]

/Baoquoc N To/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2162 August 17<sup>th</sup>, 2008